

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 Www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/683,880 02/27/2002 Gary W. Yeager 60LT01094-10 23413 7590 10/23/2003 EXAMINER CANTOR COLBURN, LLP AYLWARD, DAVID E 55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002 1732

DATE MAILED: 10/23/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/683,880	YEAGER, GARY W.
		Examiner	Art Unit
		David E Aylward	1712
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status	Decree of the second of the se		
1)∐	Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
2a)☐	•	is action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>25 and 26</u> is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5,21 and 27</u> is/are rejected.			
	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-20 and 22-24</u> is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.			
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:			
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.			
			an No
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage 			
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.			
Attachment(s)			
2) 🔲 Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152)

Serial No. 09/683,880 Art Unit 1712

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5, 21 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klinkenberg (4,039,538).
- 3. Klinkenberg teaches that the flame retardant additive of the instant claims imparts fire retardancy to both thermoplastic and thermosetting compositions (Abstract, column 4 line 50). Thus it would have been obvious to add this flame retardant to the thermosetting composition of the instant claims for it has been held that it is obvious to add a known ingredient for its known function (In re Linder 173 USPQ 356, In re Dial et al. 140 USPQ 244).
- 4. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Klinkenberg (4,039,538).
- 5. As indicated in the preceding rejection, Klinkenberg teaches the compound of instant claim 3 as an art recognized flame retardant thermosetting composition. Thus the flame retardant of claim 4 would be obvious over that of the preceding

Art Unit 1712

claim because it has been held it is obvious that compounds with similar structures will have similar properties (<u>In re Gyurik</u>, 596 F. 2d, 1012, 201 USPQ 552 (CCPA 1979).

- 6. Claims 6-20 and 22-24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mr. Aylward whose telephone number is $(703)\ 308-2372$. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30 to 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Dawson, can be reached on (703) 308-2340. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

DEAL DAylward: cdc

October 14, 2003

Robert Dawson
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700